

# **Debugging and Diagnostic Reasoning**

**PSU CS 300 Lecture 6-1**

**Bart Massey  
Assoc Prof Computer Science  
Portland State University  
<bart@cs.pdx.edu>**

# Debugging paradoxes

- **Everyone knows how**
- **It's hard to find bugs**
- **It's easy to fix bugs**
- **It's hard to fix bugs right**
- **Debugging is unnecessary**
- **There is always debugging**

# Coding? Sure. Debugging?

- I know of no good debugging textbook
- I know of no good online tutorial on debugging
- There is **little formal discipline** of debugging in CS / SE

# Think like a doctor

- **Observe symptoms**
- **Generate diagnostic hypotheses, then observe further**
- **Select a treatment**
- **Check that the treatment solves the symptoms**
- **Consider consequences**

# Think like Dr. Frankenstein

- **Kill patient as needed**
- **Start over anytime**
- **Take patient apart, patch in pieces**
- **Redesign or respecify patient as needed**
- **But *don't* work alone**

# Shorten the cycle

- **Frankenstein → possibility of quick edit / fail / debug**
- **Thrashing** ensues
- **Don't proceed until you know what you're doing**
- **Check your work**

# Collecting symptoms

- **Write things down!**
- **Have test cases (check 'em)**
- **Record not just function**
- **Form hypotheses in parallel**
- ***Don't* prematurely commit**

# Constructing hypotheses

- **Write them down!**
- **Make sure that each is consistent with observation**
- **Only testable hypotheses**
- **Design experiments now**
- **Occam's Razor is sharp**



# Choosing a hypothesis

- **Write things down!**
- **Run your distinguishing experiments**
- **May eliminate *all***
- **What if there are *two problems?***

# Confirming the hypothesis

- **Run confirming experiments**
- **Write things down! (for future reference)**
- **Don't underdo this step!**
- **Look for *root causes***

# Root cause analysis

- You aren't done until you can **explain why the bug is there**, in a way that anyone could understand
- *Where* is the root defect?
- *How and when* did the root defect get in?
- *What* needs to be done?

# Experimental design

- **Write designs down!**
- **What observations are needed? How can you make them?**
- **Instrumentation may be needed. Design it.**
- ***Don't just poke at things***

# Debugging prototypes

- **Often easier to build simple debugging prototype**
  - understand system / language
  - understand algorithm
  - test experimental design
- **Wrap stub prototype around real code as a test jig**

# Making a repair

- **Root cause analysis guides repair strategy**
- ***First do no harm***
- **The Kelly-Bootle Law**
- **Check the repair**
- **Document the repair**

# Pair debugging

- **Better than pair programming**
  - **Common mistakes are human-caused**
  - **Fatigue is decreased**
  - **More knowledge is brought to bear**
  - **Sanity checks**

# Common pitfalls

- **Not understanding the defect (e.g. bad test case)**
- **Not understanding the error (e.g. code patches)**
- **Not understanding the repair (e.g. edit wrong file)**
- **Not checking the repair**



# Minimizing debugging

- If your design is right, and if you **pseudocode**, you will spend less time debugging
- If your V&V is good, you will do debugging in a less tight loop (is this a good thing?)

# Get expert help

- **Debugging is not for novices: seek expert debugging help**
- **Can **learn** a lot about debugging this way**
- **Experts will help you feel better about it all, too**

# **Debugging and Diagnostic Reasoning**

**PSU CS 300 Lecture 6-1**

**Bart Massey  
Assoc Prof Computer Science  
Portland State University  
<bart@cs.pdx.edu>**

## **Debugging paradoxes**

- **Everyone knows how**
- **It's hard to find bugs**
- **It's easy to fix bugs**
- **It's hard to fix bugs right**
- **Debugging is unnecessary**
- **There is always debugging**

## Coding? Sure. Debugging?

- I know of no good debugging textbook
- I know of no good online tutorial on debugging
- There is **little formal discipline** of debugging in CS / SE

## Think like a doctor

- **Observe symptoms**
- **Generate diagnostic hypotheses, then observe further**
- **Select a treatment**
- **Check that the treatment solves the symptoms**
- **Consider consequences**

## Think like Dr. Frankenstein

- Kill patient as needed
- Start over anytime
- Take patient apart, patch in pieces
- Redesign or respecify patient as needed
- But *don't* work alone

## Shorten the cycle

- **Frankenstein** → possibility of quick edit / fail /debug
- **Thrashing** ensues
- **Don't proceed until you know what you're doing**
- **Check your work**



## Collecting symptoms

- **Write things down!**
- **Have test cases (check 'em)**
- **Record not just function**
- **Form hypotheses in parallel**
- ***Don't* prematurely commit**

## Constructing hypotheses

- **Write them down!**
- **Make sure that each is consistent with observation**
- **Only testable hypotheses**
- **Design experiments now**
- **Occam's Razor is sharp**

## Choosing a hypothesis

- Write things down!
- Run your distinguishing experiments
- May eliminate *all*
- What if there are *two* problems?

## Confirming the hypothesis

- Run **confirming experiments**
- Write things down! (for future reference)
- Don't underdo this step!
- Look for *root causes*

## Root cause analysis

- You aren't done until you can **explain why the bug is there**, in a way that anyone could understand
- *Where* is the root defect?
- *How and when* did the root defect get in?
- *What* needs to be done?

## Experimental design

- **Write designs down!**
- **What observations are needed? How can you make them?**
- **Instrumentation may be needed. Design it.**
- ***Don't just poke at things***

## Debugging prototypes

- **Often easier to build simple debugging prototype**
  - understand system / language
  - understand algorithm
  - test experimental design
- **Wrap stub prototype around real code as a **test jig****

## Making a repair

- Root cause analysis guides repair strategy
- *First do no harm*
- The Kelly-Bootle Law
- Check the repair
- Document the repair



## Pair debugging

- **Better than pair programming**
  - **Common mistakes are human-caused**
  - **Fatigue is decreased**
  - **More knowledge is brought to bear**
  - **Sanity checks**

## Common pitfalls

- Not understanding the defect (e.g. bad test case)
- **Not understanding the error** (e.g. code patches)
- Not understanding the repair (e.g. edit wrong file)
- Not checking the repair

## Minimizing debugging

- If your design is right, and if you **pseudocode**, you will spend less time debugging
- If your V&V is good, you will do debugging in a less tight loop (is this a good thing?)

## Get expert help

- **Debugging is not for novices: seek expert debugging help**
- **Can **learn** a lot about debugging this way**
- **Experts will help you feel better about it all, too**