Debugging paradoxes

- Everyone knows how
- It's hard to find bugs
- It's easy to fix bugs
- It's hard to fix bugs right
- Debugging is unnecessary
- There is always debugging
Coding? Sure. Debugging?

- I know of no good debugging textbook
- I know of no good online tutorial on debugging
- There is little formal discipline of debugging in CS / SE
Think like a doctor

- Observe symptoms
- Generate diagnostic hypotheses, then observe further
- Select a treatment
- Check that the treatment solves the symptoms
- Consider consequences
Think like Dr. Frankenstein

- Kill patient as needed
- Start over anytime
- Take patient apart, patch in pieces
- Redesign or respecify patient as needed
- But *don't* work alone
Shorten the cycle

- Frankenstein → possibility of quick edit / fail / debug
- **Thrashing** ensues
- Don't proceed until you know what you're doing
- Check your work
Collecting symptoms

- Write things down!
- Have test cases (check 'em)
- Record not just function
- Form hypotheses in parallel
- Don't prematurely commit
Constructing hypotheses

- Write them down!
- Make sure that each is consistent with observation
- Only testable hypotheses
- Design experiments now
- Occam's Razor is sharp
Choosing a hypothesis

- Write things down!
- Run your distinguishing experiments
- May eliminate all
- What if there are two problems?
Confirming the hypothesis

- Run confirming experiments
- Write things down! (for future reference)
- Don't underdo this step!
- Look for root causes
Root cause analysis

- You aren't done until you can explain *why* the bug is there, in a way that anyone could understand
- *Where* is the root defect?
- *How and when* did the root defect get in?
- *What* needs to be done?
Experimental design

- Write designs down!
- What observations are needed? How can you make them?
- Instrumentation may be needed. Design it.
- *Don't just poke at things*
Debugging prototypes

- Often easier to build simple debugging prototype
  - understand system / language
  - understand algorithm
  - test experimental design

- Wrap stub prototype around real code as a **test jig**
Making a repair

- Root cause analysis guides repair strategy
- *First do no harm*
- The Kelly-Bootle Law
- Check the repair
- Document the repair
Pair debugging

- Better than pair programming
  - Common mistakes are human-caused
  - Fatigue is decreased
  - More knowledge is brought to bear
  - Sanity checks
Common pitfalls

- Not understanding the defect (e.g. bad test case)
- Not understanding the error (e.g. code patches)
- Not understanding the repair (e.g. edit wrong file)
- Not checking the repair
Minimizing debugging

- If your design is right, and if you **pseudocode**, you will spend less time debugging.
- If your V&V is good, you will do debugging in a less tight loop (is this a good thing?)
Get expert help

- Debugging is not for novices: seek expert debugging help
- Can learn a lot about debugging this way
- Experts will help you feel better about it all, too
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